



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,363	01/11/2002	Timothy Hun	67,200-632	8231
7590 04/07/2004			EXAMINER	
TUNG & ASSOCIATES			RIMELL, SAMUEL G	
Suite 120 838 W. Long La	ake Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			2175	₹
		·	DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	, <i>,</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

L

		Application No.	(LApplicant(s)	——— <u>—</u>			
Office Action Summany		Application No.	Applicant(s)	m			
		10/044,363	HUN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sam Rimell	2175				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	∋ss			
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failth	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a to Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mountain to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this comma  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
<i>'</i> —		his action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with definition of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers	·					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyoection is required if the drawing.	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	` '			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National St	age			
			ČSAM RIM PRIMARY EX	NELL (AMINER			
2)  Notice 3) Information	et(s)  ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0  or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15				

Application/Control Number: 10/044,363

Art Unit: 2175

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5-10 and 12-14 are rejected under 35.U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brodersen et al. (U.S. Patent 6,405,220).

Claim 1: FIG. 1 illustrates a plurality of server computers (1, 21a, 21b, 21c). Each of the computers is readable as a server computer because each computer can transfer to other computers. For example, computers (21a) and (21b) can transfer data to computer (1), and computer (1) can transfer data to any of the other computers (21a, 21b, 21c). The system of FIG. 1 includes a series of databases (3, 23a, 23b, 23c), a series of update detection utilities (the update managers 31a and logs 35a, for example, which reside at each of the servers). The communication interfaces are the docking systems (25a, 25b). As described at col. 5, line 8 through col. 6, lines 27, and in particular, col. 6, lines 22-27, the central server (1) can detect the existence of updates and automatically forward the updates to any one of the servers (21a, 21b, 21c). The updates are automatically installed so that that the servers are synchronized with each other.

<u>Claim 2:</u> When one of the servers, such as 25(a), is docked to the central server (1), it presents a hard-wired connection in the network.

<u>Claim 3:</u> When one of the servers, such as (25c), is not docked to the central server. It resides in a wireless state by reason that it is not connected to the central server.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,363

Art Unit: 2175

<u>Claim 5:</u> Updates residing on the central computer (1) can be routed to any of the other servers (21a, 21b, 21c) via the docking structure (5).

Claim 6: FIG. 1 is a distributed communications network.

<u>Claim 7:</u> FIG. 1 is a local area network of distributed communications, since it involves direct client-server connections.

Claim 8: See remarks fro claim 1.

Claim 9: See remarks for claim 2.

Claim 10: See remarks for claim 3.

Claim 12: See remarks for claim 5.

Claim 13: See remarks for claim 6.

Claim 14: See remarks for claim 7.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brodersen et al. in view of Official Notice.

<u>Claim 4:</u> Examiner takes Official Notice that XML and PHP are standardized programming languages that were widely known in the art at the time of invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Brodersen et al. to incorporate XML or PHP into either the programming of the servers or the content of the updates since both are desirable standards that are widely used in the computer programming arts.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,363

Art Unit: 2175

Claim 11: See remarks for claim 4.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (703) 306-5626.

Sam Rimell Primary Examiner Art Unit 2175 Page 4